

## Lecture 9 - Constructing Pseudorandom States

Lecturer: Henry Yuen

Scribes: Yuval Efron/Melody Hsu

## 1 Constructing PRS from PRG

In order to show how a PRS can be constructed from a PRG, we introduce the notion of pseudo-random functions (PRF).

**Definition 1.** A function  $F : \{0, 1\}^m \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is called a pseudo-random function if  $F$  is computable in polynomial time, and for every polynomial time (perhaps quantum) distinguisher  $D$  it holds that

$$\left| \Pr_{k \sim_R \{0,1\}^n} (D^{F_k} = 1) - \Pr_{f \sim_R \{0,1\}^{\{0,1\}^m}} (D^f = 1) \right| \leq \text{neg}$$

In the above, for every  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $F_k : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is defined by  $F_k(x) = F(x, k)$ , and  $D^g$  for a function  $g$  denotes granting  $D$  oracle access to  $g$ .

**Fact 2.** A well known result in cryptography states that PRG and PRF are equivalent primitives. In particular, if a PRG exists, so does a PRF.

The rest of the section focuses on proving the following theorem due to Ji, Liu, Song [JLS18].

**Theorem 3.** PRFs imply PRS.

We begin by describing the construction.

**Construction.** Let  $F : \{0, 1\}^m \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . We construct  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes m}$  as follows.

1. By applying an  $H$  gate to each qubit, we prepare the uniform superposition state:  $2^{-m/2} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^m} |x\rangle$ .
2. Given  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we compute  $F_k$  in superposition on the above state, to obtain  $|\psi_k\rangle = 2^{-m/2} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^m} (-1)^{F_k(x)} |x\rangle$ .
3. Output  $|\psi_k\rangle$ .

We first note that each of the above steps can be executed in quantum polynomial time, as  $F_k$  is computable in polynomial time. All that is left is proof of security. Namely we aim to prove the following.

**Claim 4.** The ensemble  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_k$  is indistinguishable from a Haar random state on  $m$  qubits, even given  $\text{poly}(n)$  copies.

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a distinguisher and fix  $t = \text{poly}(n)$ . The proof employs a hybrid argument. Specifically, we examine  $D$ 's behaviour on 3 different distributions: The first is  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_k$ , the second would be an interpolation of  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_k$  and Haar, and the third would be a random Haar state. Formally, we consider the following experiments.

**Experiment 1.**

1. Sample a uniformly random  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ .
2. Create  $t$  copies of  $|\psi_k\rangle$ .
3. Compute  $D(|\psi_k\rangle^{\otimes t})$  and output the result.

**Experiment 2.**

1. Sample a random function  $f : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . It is helpful to think of this step as being executed by a third party, and not the distinguisher.
2. Generate  $t$  copies of  $|\psi_f\rangle = 2^{-m/2} \sum_{x \in \{0, 1\}^m} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle$ . Denote the vector of coefficients by  $\alpha$ , with  $\alpha_x = (-1)^{f(x)}$ .
3. Compute  $D(|\psi_f\rangle^{\otimes t})$  and output the result.

**Experiment 3.**

1. Sample a random Haar state  $|\theta\rangle$ .
2. Compute  $t$  copies of  $|\theta\rangle$
3. Compute  $D(|\theta\rangle^{\otimes t})$  and output the answer.

Notice that our overarching goal is to show that the distributions produced by experiments 1( $Exp_1$ ) and 3( $Exp_3$ ) are close, we do this by showing that experiment 1 is close to experiment 2( $Exp_2$ ), and experiment 2 is close to experiment 3.

**Observation 5.**  $\|Exp_1 - Exp_2\|_1 \leq \text{neg}$ .

The above holds from the assumption that  $F$  is a PRF.

All that is left to is to show that  $\|Exp_2 - Exp_3\|_1 \leq \text{neg}$ . We actually show that these distributions are close regardless of the chosen distinguisher, i.e. we bound the trace distance between the state distributions.

Specifically, we show that

$$\|\mathbb{E}_f |\psi_f\rangle\langle\psi_f|^{\otimes t} - \mathbb{E} |\theta\rangle\langle\theta|^{\otimes t}\|_1 \leq O\left(\frac{t^2}{2^m}\right)$$

First, we recall that  $\mathbb{E}|\theta\rangle\langle\theta|^{\otimes t} = \frac{\Pi_{sym}^{M,t}}{\text{Tr}(\Pi)}$  where  $M = 2^m$  and the nominator is the projector onto the symmetric space as we saw in previous lectures. When the parameters are clear from context, we refer to this operator as  $\Pi$ .

$$|\psi_f\rangle^{\otimes t} = 2^{-mt/2} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_t} \alpha_{x_1} \dots \alpha_{x_t} |x_1, \dots, x_t\rangle$$

Define:

$$|\sigma\rangle = 2^{-mt/2} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_t, \text{all distinct}} \alpha_{x_1} \dots \alpha_{x_t} |x_1, \dots, x_t\rangle$$

Notice that both of the above states have  $mt$  qubits. In  $|\sigma\rangle$ , we sum over all  $t$ -tuples of strings of length  $m$  that are pairwise distinct, denote this set by  $S_{m,t}$ .

With  $|\sigma\rangle$  in mind, we notice the following and leave the proof as an exercise. Note that this claim essentially boils down to the question: Given  $t$  uniform random strings of length  $m > n$ , what is the probability that two of them are the same? If  $t = \text{poly}(n)$ , the probability is vanishingly small.

**Claim 6.**  $\| |\psi_f\rangle^{\otimes t} - |\sigma\rangle \|_1 \leq O(\frac{t^2}{2^m})$

Thus, all that is left is to show that  $\| \mathbb{E}_f |\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma| - \frac{\Pi}{\text{Tr}(\Pi)} \|_1 \leq O(\frac{t^2}{2^m})$

We start with

$$\mathbb{E}_f |\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma| = 2^{-mt} \sum_{x,y \in S_{m,t}} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_{x_1} \dots \alpha_{x_t} \alpha_{y_1} \dots \alpha_{y_t}] |x_1, \dots, x_t\rangle\langle y_1, \dots, y_t|$$

Fix  $x, y$ , and note that the value of  $\mathbb{E}[\alpha_{x_1} \dots \alpha_{x_t} \alpha_{y_1} \dots \alpha_{y_t}]$  can be deduced very easily. If  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  is a permutation of  $(y_1, \dots, y_t)$  then the expression equals 1, and otherwise at least one  $x_i$  is different from all strings in  $x, y$  and thus  $\alpha_{x_i}$  will be 1 half the time and  $-1$  half the time, which averages to 0. Thus we can continue:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-mt} \sum_{x,y \in S_{m,t}} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_{x_1} \dots \alpha_{x_t} \alpha_{y_1} \dots \alpha_{y_t}] |x_1, \dots, x_t\rangle\langle y_1, \dots, y_t| = \\ & 2^{-mt} \sum_{x \in S_{m,t}, \pi \in \text{Sym}_t} |x_1, \dots, x_t\rangle\langle x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(t)}| = 2^{-mt} A \left( \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sym}_t} P_{\pi} \right) = 2^{-mt} t! \Pi A \Pi \end{aligned}$$

In the above  $\text{Sym}_t$  is the symmetric group on  $t$  elements,  $A = \sum_{x \in S_{m,t}} |x_1, \dots, x_t\rangle\langle x_1, \dots, x_t|$ , and  $P_{\pi}$  is the permutation matrix defined by  $\pi$ . The last transition is due to  $A \Pi = \Pi A$ .

Now all that is left is to show that  $\| 2^{-mt} t! \Pi A \Pi - \frac{\Pi}{\text{Tr}(\Pi)} \|_1 \leq O(\frac{t^2}{2^m})$ . We note that the LHS is at most  $2^{-mt} t! \| \Pi A \Pi - \Pi \|_1 + |2^{-mt} - 1/\binom{2^m+t-1}{t}| \leq O(\frac{t^2}{2^m})$ . The last transition is left as an exercise to the reader, and might appear in the homework assignment. This concludes the proof that  $\| \text{Exp}_2 - \text{Exp}_3 \| \leq \text{neg}$  and thus  $\| \text{Exp}_1 - \text{Exp}_3 \| \leq \text{neg}$  which proves that  $G$  is a PRS, as required.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** These lecture notes are based on handwritten lecture notes by Melody Hsu.

## References

- [JLS18] Zhengfeng Ji, Yi-Kai Liu, and Fang Song. Pseudorandom quantum states. In *CRYPTO (3)*, volume 10993 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 126–152. Springer, 2018.